ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY



Phenotyping Thermal Responses of Yeasts and Yeast-like Microorganisms at the Individual and Population Levels: Proof-of-Concept, Development and Application of an Experimental Framework to a Plant Pathogen

Anne-Lise Boixel¹ · Ghislain Delestre¹ · Jean Legeay¹ · Michaël Chelle² · Frédéric Suffert¹

Received: 8 June 2018 / Accepted: 29 August 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Deciphering the responses of microbial populations to spatiotemporal changes in their thermal environment is instrumental in improving our understanding of their eco-evolutionary dynamics. Recent studies have shown that current phenotyping protocols do not adequately address all dimensions of phenotype expression. Therefore, these methods can give biased assessments of sensitivity to temperature, leading to misunderstandings concerning the ecological processes underlying thermal plasticity. We describe here a new robust and versatile experimental framework for the accurate investigation of thermal performance and phenotypic diversity in yeasts and yeast-like microorganisms, at the individual and population levels. In addition to proof-of-concept, the application of this framework to the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* resulted in detailed characterisations for this yeast-like microorganism of (i) the patterns of temperature-dependent changes in performance for four fitness traits; (ii) the consistency in thermal sensitivity rankings of strains between *in planta* and *in vitro* growth assessments; (iii) significant interindividual variation in thermal responses, with four principal thermotypes detected in a sample of 66 strains; and (iv) the ecological consequences of this diversity for population-level processes through pairwise competition experiments highlighting temperature-dependent outcomes. These findings extend our knowledge and ability to quantify and categorise the phenotypic heterogeneity of thermal responses. As such, they lay the foundations for further studies elucidating local adaptation patterns and the effects of temperature variations on eco-evolutionary and epidemiological processes.

Keywords Phenotyping \cdot Responses to temperature \cdot Thermal performance curve \cdot Diversity metrics \cdot Yeast-like microorganisms \cdot *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Introduction

Microorganisms inhabit diverse environments differing considerably in terms of their temperature conditions (mean,

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1253-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Anne-Lise Boixel anne-lise.boixel@inra.fr

Frédéric Suffert frederic.suffert@inra.fr

- ¹ UMR BIOGER, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France
- ² UMR ECOSYS, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France

range, variance, dynamics). For example, foliar pathogens live in patchy environments subject to thermal variations, the magnitude and rate of which vary over space (heterogeneity) and time (dynamics) [1, 2]. Temperature has a large effect on the growth of microorganisms, and is thus a crucial factor that must be taken into account when deciphering the ecological and epidemiological processes in which these microorganisms are involved [3], e.g. disease development for foliar pathogens of plants [4]. The response to thermal conditions differs between individuals [3]; therefore, it is vital to characterise the diversity of these responses in natural populations to predict changes, such as epidemic outbreaks, particularly in a context of climate change [5]. To that end, a set of efficient, reproducible and standardised thermal phenotyping protocols is necessary to quantify these responses accurately and to ensure that they can be compared between individuals, populations and experiments.

In addition to the fact that protocols meeting these requirements are still lacking to date [6], the phenotyping of thermal responses is currently subject to six types of limitation. These limitations may be inherent to the experimental design (i–ii), or may arise in either the measurement (iii–v) or data analysis (vi) phases namely:

- (i) suboptimal choice of the proxy for fitness when investigating phenotypic heterogeneity between individuals in the absence of prior multiple trait-based approaches. Indeed, the fitness traits under study will not necessarily have a similar effect on the components of performance (growth, reproduction and survival) or be affected similarly by temperature [7, 8];

- (ii) fragmented range and disparate resolution of the thermal conditions studied, leading to errors in the prediction of key thermal parameters [9];

- (iii) potential biases in the measurement of performance likely to magnify or counteract differences between replicates and/or individuals. This can be seen in the case of fungi, for which conventional protocols rely on radial growth rate measurements on solidified agar surfaces in Petri dishes. Indeed, colony diameter and area do not capture growth in three dimensions and are affected by both the physical heterogeneity of the moisture content of the solid medium and the differences in surface tension between plates [10];

- (iv) suboptimal consideration and monitoring of timedependent effects inherent to labile fitness traits [11], with measurements often conducted at a single time point;

- (v) use of an incorrect indicator of the temperature actually perceived by the microorganism during the experiment (e.g. micro- vs. phyllo-climate [2]). For example, air temperatures are widely used in studies carried out under controlled (incubator or phytotron units), semi-controlled (greenhouse) or natural conditions (field) but do not correspond to the temperatures of the immediate environment of microorganisms, i.e. culture medium (*in vitro* assays) or leaf (*in planta* assays) temperatures [4];

- (vi) incorrect description of the experimental data when establishing TPCs [12] (thermal performance curves, which depict the variation of performance over a range of temperatures [7]) due to the inaccurate selection of candidate models (e.g. a linear model with only two temperatures) and/or an underappreciation of the constraints of the model on the confidence estimates of key thermal parameters [13].

All these factors result in a partial view of the relationship between trait, fitness and temperature, by hindering the plotting of accurate and robust TPCs.

Based upon these limitations, the design of phenotyping protocols is a potential stumbling block in the development of future high-throughput phenotyping assays assessing the phenotypic heterogeneity of thermal responses. This is particularly evident in the case of *Zymoseptoria tritici* (formerly *Mycosphaerella* graminicola), the causal agent of Septoria tritici blotch (STB), one of the most important foliar diseases of wheat worldwide [14]. For this biological model, research on thermal adaptation has been restricted to in vitro comparisons of two temperatures, with growth measured on solidified agar, at one to three time points [10, 15, 16], resulting in the inherent biases mentioned above. A phenotyping protocol has been proposed in planta for the latent period [4], defined as the time interval between infection and sporulation, but it is suitable only for small numbers of samples (time-consuming and intensive procedures for disease assessment over a wide range of temperatures). Furthermore, in the case of in planta assessments, differential responses of performance (the expression of aggressiveness in this instance) between strains can take the form of a cross effect between host resistance and temperature adaptation [17, 18].

Objective and Strategy

This study aimed to bridge these gaps by providing a generic experimental framework for phenotyping the responses of a microorganism to its temperature at the individual (responses of quantitative traits to temperature) and population (interindividual variability for a given trait) levels. This framework was designed to be suitable for use with microorganisms whose growth predominantly results from budding or fission (e.g. yeasts and yeast-like microorganisms). It was implemented here for *Z. tritici*, to provide both proof-of-concept and new research findings concerning the thermal responses of this pathogen.

We present in this study (i) a laboratory-standardised and miniaturised method for accurately characterising the full functional thermal responses of Z. tritici over a wide range of temperatures, with practical recommendations for its use for yeasts and other yeast-like microorganisms; (ii) an investigation of the interdependence of Z. tritici phenotypic traits regarding individual thermal sensitivity (phenotypic integration) to assess the biological relevance and quality of the information acquired in this framework; (iii) a characterisation of the diversity of thermal responses in Z. tritici between individuals and within and between populations; and (iv) an assessment of the consequences of this diversity for population-level ecological processes.

Methods

The responses of Z. tritici to its thermal environment were analysed by establishing TPCs for sampled strains under laboratory-standardised and miniaturised conditions. This method involves measuring differences in growth kinetics (i.e. the increase in the number of yeast-like spores over time) within aliquots of different spore suspensions exposed to 12 temperatures (stage I). We assessed its veracity (stage II) and biological relevance (stage III), and then applied it to investigate the diversity of thermal phenotypes in *Z. tritici* and its consequences for population-level processes (stage IV).

A Step-by-Step Guide to the Thermal Phenotyping Framework [stage I]

An *in vitro* approach was developed to screen a large number of strains, using growth kinetics as a proxy for fitness. The steps in the thermal phenotyping process are summarised in Fig. 1.

Preparation of Fungal Cultures (Fig. 1.1)

Inoculum was prepared from stock tubes of *Z. tritici* spore suspensions stored at -80 °C in a 1:1 glycerol–water mixture. Subcultures were grown on PDA (potato dextrose agar, 39 g L⁻¹) in a Petri dish and kept at 18 °C in the dark for 6 days. Spores were scraped from the agar surface and resuspended in a liquid glucose peptone medium (14.3 g L⁻¹ dextrose, 7.1 g L⁻¹ bactopeptone and 1.4 g L⁻¹ yeast extract). Concentrations of the resulting suspensions were adjusted to 2.5×10^5 spores mL⁻¹ in a Malassez counting chamber. For each spore suspension, eight culture aliquots were dispensed in the eight wells of a column of a 96-well microtiter plate (Microtest Plate, Sarstedt), with an eight-channel pipette (150 μ L per well). The first column was reserved for the blank (eight wells containing non-inoculated liquid medium), to take into account the contribution of the medium to optical density. With our experimental design, it was possible to study 11 strains simultaneously on each microtiter plate of a given experimental series. Microtiter plates were prepared in 12 replicates, each of which was assigned to one of the 12 temperatures studied. Plates were sealed with a sterile gas-permeable membrane (Breathe-Easy, Diversified Biotech) to prevent contamination and liquid medium evaporation. Preliminary tests were conducted to select the optimal culture conditions for studying *Z. tritici* growth at various temperatures (see Electronic Supplementary Material, ESM 1a).

Initiation of the Growth Experiment (Fig. 1.2)

Each plate was statically incubated in the dark for 4 days at one of the 12 study temperatures from 6.5 to 33.5 °C (6.5, 9.5, 11.5, 14.5, 17.5, 20.0, 22.5, 24.5, 26.5, 28.5, 30.5 and 33.5 °C). The number, resolution and range of these temperatures were chosen so as to ensure the accurate capture of responses to temperature [9]. We chose to stop monitoring growth at 4 days for two reasons: to capture the growth kinetics until saturation (stationary phase) whilst avoiding the phase during which experimental artefacts would be likely to occur. A biofilm-like structure [19] formed at the surface of the liquid medium after 5 days, and this structure



Fig. 1 Overview of the steps in the thermal phenotyping framework for a given strain. (1) Preparation of fungal cultures by adjusting spore suspensions and dispensing them in 12 microtiter plates; (2) Incubation of microtiter plates in the dark for 4 days at 12 temperatures; (3) Kinetic monitoring of fungal growth for each temperature through OD_{405} measurements with a spectrophotometer; (4) Calculation of the maximum growth rate μ (slope at the inflection point) for each

temperature; (5) Expression of μ as a function of temperature; (6) Establishment of the strain's thermal performance curve (TPC) by the fitting of mathematical models to the growth rate data illustrated in step 5; (7) Capture of TPC features by retrieving key thermal parameters: maximum performance (P_{max}), thermal optimum (T_{opt}), maximum temperature (T_{max}) and 80% thermal performance breadth (TPB_{80})

altered spore number estimates in the microplate wells (see ESM 1b). The 12 constant thermal environments were obtained by placing the plates in plastic boxes in an incubator (Heratherm Incubator IMC 18, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or in a water bath under thermostatic control (Polystat 24 Immersion Circulator, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Kinetic Monitoring of Fungal Growth (Fig. 1.3)

Plates were removed from their thermal environment to measure the optical density of each well at 405 nm (OD_{405}) three times per day, at 5-h intervals, with a microplate spectrophotometer (Multiskan FC and dedicated SkanIt Software, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Plates were shaken before each reading (horizontal shaking for 5 s at 5 Hz, with an amplitude of 15 mm) to aerate the medium and ensure a uniform distribution of spores within the suspension.

Establishment of TPCs (Fig. 1.4–1.6)

Optical density (OD_{405}) measurements were converted into spore numbers for each suspension, with a calibration curve (see Eq. 1). This calibration curve, which relates OD_{405} values to the actual spore concentration of 30 dilutions ($1.25 \times$ dilution series, as recommended in [20]), was established for four reference strains and was shown to be suitable for broadspectrum application when assessed with a set of 68 strains (no significant difference in curve coefficients).

$$OD = 4.2 \times 10^{-7} S + 0.06$$
 (Eq.1)

where OD is the OD_{405} value subtracted by the intrinsic absorbance of the medium (blank value) and *S* is the number of spores per millilitre estimated with an automated particle counter (Flow-Cell FC200S+, Occhio).

The TPC for a given strain was obtained by (i) fitting logistic curves to its growth kinetics curve at each of the 12 temperatures studied (Fig. 1.4); (ii) extracting the mean maximum growth rate for each fitting (Fig. 1.5); and (iii) fitting a nonlinear model to biological growth rate data (Fig. 1.6). Since the goodness-of-fit of models to data varies with temperature (i.e. on the x-axis of TPCs), the systematic errors in predictions of performance may differ between models at a given temperature. Thus, as population-based studies are more focused on deciphering interindividual variations in TPCs than in obtaining absolute performance values for each strain, we decided to use a single model to describe TPCs for a given trait. After initial fitting tests on the experimental data which was obtained for Z. tritici, 15 mathematical models were chosen from a set of 50 models depicted in previous studies as descriptors of trait responses to temperature (see ESM 2). These 15 models were classified according to their goodness and statistical relevance of fit to experimental data. The criteria for discriminating between models were the residual sum of squares, and the Akaike and Schwarz weights [21]. We then selected the best of the top-ranking candidate models by focusing successively on (i) the distribution of residuals across the temperature range, with greater weight given to the estimation accuracy of midrange rather than extreme temperatures; (ii) model constraints, including in particular dependence of parameters [22] and symmetry [12]; (iii) practicality, i.e. whether the model has biologically meaningful parameters and is frequently used to describe TPCs in the literature (see ESM 2).

Capturing Key Thermal Parameters from the TPC (Fig. 1.7)

The most appropriate model was adjusted separately for each strain studied. The characteristics of each TPC were captured with four key thermal parameters: maximum performance (P_{max}) , thermal optimum (T_{opt}) , maximum temperature (T_{max}) and thermal performance breadth $(TPB_{80}$: temperature range over which performance exceeded 80% of $P_{\text{max}})$ [3, 7].

Method Validation [stage II]

The method was validated by assessing the accuracy, repeatability, reproducibility and suitability of the thermal phenotyping framework as defined by ISO international standards [23, 24]. The framework phenotyping steps (see Fig. 1) were applied to two *Z. tritici* reference strains (CBS-KNAW-IPO323 and INRA-FS0932) in a growth kinetics experiment that was repeated three times consecutively (repetitions of experiment). For both strains, spore concentrations were measured for eight aliquots (technical replicates) at each of the 13 time steps using two methods: turbidity (OD) and automated (Flow-Cell FC200S+ particle counter and dedicated CALLISTO software, Occhio) measurements.

The veracity of growth estimates from OD₄₀₅ measurements was evaluated by assessing agreement and precision between optical density (OD method) and particle counter (PC method) measurements. As extreme temperatures can modify spore melanisation [16, 25] and as Z. tritici displays dimorphism (potential transition from yeast-like to hyphal growth forms, depending on growth conditions [26]), we also checked that changes in OD were not due to shifts in the optical properties of spores during growth monitoring. Spore size (length and width), shape (straightness), melanisation (greyscale level) and growth form (visual discrimination procedure) were analysed from morphometric data and images of all spores passing through the particle counter (accuracy assessment; see ESM 3a). Differences in spore concentrations were investigated between the technical replicates for each set of growth conditions (strain × time × temperature) and between the three independent and consecutive repetitions of the experiment (repeatability and reproducibility assessments, respectively). We ensured that thermal growth conditions

were homogeneous in the wells of each microtiter plate, by carrying out a specific experiment at 11.5, 18.0 and 24.5 °C, respectively: 20 T-type thermocouples (copper-constantar; diameter 0.2 mm) were immersed in the culture medium of 20 wells and connected to a datalogger (Campbell Scientific), which recorded temperature every 10 min (suitability assessment; see ESM 4).

Consistency in Thermal Sensitivity for Assessments Based on Different Fitness Traits [stage III]

Is the thermal sensitivity inferred from in vitro growth rate representative of in planta trait-based thermal responses? 'Phenotypic integration' [27] of thermal responses was studied for a set of 18 Z. tritici strains in liquid medium (in vitro) and on wheat plants (in planta). In vitro assessment involved monitoring the growth kinetics of each strain at 12 temperatures (see Fig. 1), whereas in planta assessment was based on three conventional aggressiveness traits (AUDPC, LAT and nbSPO; see Table 1). Each strain was inoculated by applying a spore suspension (calibrated at 2×10^5 spores mL⁻¹) to the median part of the flag leaf (adaxial face) of six adult wheat plants (cv. Apache, head fully emerged stage) [28]. This experiment was conducted simultaneously under five thermal regimes at mean temperatures of 12.4 (\pm 0.4), 14.3 (\pm 0.5), 17.1 (\pm 0.4), 18.9 (\pm 0.4) and 21.5 (\pm 0.4) °C. Leaf temperature was recorded throughout the experiment with T-type thermocouples positioned under the leaf in contact with the inoculated area [4]. Disease symptoms were quantified twice weekly until total leaf senescence, through visual assessments of the sporulating area and the quantification of spore production [28], to provide information about *in planta* traits (see Table 1). For each trait, TPCs were established with the best mathematical model from 15 candidates (see ESM 2). Based on these TPCs, we conducted (i) a multitrait analysis to assess matches in thermal response patterns between the four traits; and (ii) a consistency assessment in which we ranked strains based on the position of TPCs on the temperature axis for growth rate (*in vitro*) and AUDPC (*in planta*).

Practical Applications [stage IV]

Application 1: Capturing Thermal Phenotypic Diversity Between Individuals and Within and Between Populations

How much do thermal responses vary between individuals and populations of Z. tritici? Six Z. tritici populations (Fp1 to Fp6) of 11 strains each, collected on wheat cv. Apache in fields located along two transects in France (a north-south increasing gradient of mean annual temperature and a west-east increasing gradient of annual temperature range; see ESM 5a-c), were phenotyped. The TPCs of all strains were established with a modified version of the thermal phenotyping framework (growth measured 72 h after deposition, i.e. shortly after reaching the inflection point in the growth kinetics) and were summarised by the calculation of P_{max} , T_{opt} , T_{max} and TPB_{80} . Overall thermal phenotypic diversity was assessed by establishing an average TPC template and determining the extent to which it varied between strains. We then conducted a hierarchical clustering on principal components (HCPC) analysis on P_{max} , T_{opt} , T_{max} and TPB_{80} , to group together thermal responses with similar characteristics (entities hereafter referred to as 'thermotypes'). We then summarised this diversity, using the corresponding clusters silhouette plot [29] to visualise the number, proportion and features (compactness, separation, connectivity) of each thermotype. We assessed the variation of TPCs within and between populations, by analysing both divergence in the means and variances of thermal parameters and the composition patterns of thermotypes.

Table 1 Definition, measurement and calculation of the three aggressiveness traits for which response to temperature was studied

Trait	AUDPC	LAT	nbSPO
Definition	Area under the sporulating area progress curve	Latent period, i.e. estimation of the time elapsed from inoculation to appearance of the first pycnidia	Final number of pycnidiospores ^b produced per unit sporulating area ^a
Measurement	Sporulating area ^a	Sporulating area ^a	Total number of pycnidiospores ^b collected in lesion washing suspensions ^c and counted in a Malassez counting chamber
Calculation	Midpoint rule (trapezoidal integration method)	Number of days post-inoculation required to reach 5% of the maximum sporulating area ^a	Total number of pycnidiospores ^b divided by maximum sporulating area ^a

^aLeaf area covered by pycnidia (asexual fruiting bodies)

^b Asexual spores liberated from pycnidia

^c Suspensions obtained by sweeping the leaf sporulating area with a paintbrush into a fixed volume of water

Application 2: Inferring Relative Fitness at the Individual (Performance) and Population (Competitive Advantage) Levels

TPCs show how temperature affects a given component of fitness (applied here to growth rate) at the individual level. Can we upscale this knowledge to group or population levels using additive rules? We conducted a pairwise competition experiment to determine whether the actual relative fitness of two strains grown together at a given temperature can be inferred from their respective individual TPCs. Five Z. tritici strain pairs, selected on the basis of their (dis)similar TPCs, were cocultured in 150 µL of GPL, with an initial total spore concentration of 2.5×10^5 spores mL⁻¹, at eight temperatures (7.0, 11.5, 15.0, 18.5, 21.0, 25.0, 28.5 and 30.5 °C), in five replicates. This proof-of-concept experiment involved the monitoring of changes in strain frequencies 72 h after deposition, by measuring the final proportions of each strain by fluorescence microscopy and image analyses [30] (see Fig. 2). Strain pairs were constituted by coculturing a GFP-expressing IPO323 transformant (IPO323* strain, $T_{opt} = 25.8$ °C) with one of the following non-GFP-expressing strains: (i) a strain with a similar TPC and genetic background (WT-IPO323 corresponding to IPO323* wild-type, $T_{opt} = 25.8$ °C) at initial proportions of 25, 50 and 75% in the coculture; (ii) a strain with a similar TPC but a contrasting genetic background (FS0917, T_{opt} = 25.7 °C) at an initial proportion of 50%; (iii) three strains (analyses conducted separately) with similar TPCs but differing from IPO323* (FS0678, FS0922, FS1014; *T*_{opt} = 19.1, 19.2, 19.3 °C, respectively), at an initial proportion of 50% (see Fig. 7a).

Statistical Analyses

Four types of statistical analyses were conducted with R software [31]: (i) comparisons of means between groups based on analysis of variance (ANOVA) or its non-parametric alternative (Kruskal-Wallis test); followed, when necessary, by appropriate post-hoc tests (P value threshold 0.05); (ii) fitting and analysis of a generalised

linear model quantifying the extent to which OD values may be affected by experimental variables and their interactions with time and temperature (stage II); (iii) nonlinear fitting and selection of mathematical models to establish TPCs [32]; (iv) exploratory multivariate analyses (PCA and HCPC) [33] to define thermotypes, the characteristics of which were explored further with clustering validation indices [34] (application 1).

Results

Method Validation

Veracity and Precision of Spore Concentration Measurements

The results obtained with the OD and PC methods displayed a high degree of agreement, as shown by the strong correlation between paired measurements (Pearson's correlation coefficient 0.99; P < 0.01), although the OD method tended to give slightly higher estimates (by 0 to 7% of the total number of spores in the sample). The OD method displayed less variation in spore number estimates between successive replicate measurements (Student's *t* test; P < 0.01) and, as such, appeared to be more reliable for the detection of small but significant differences between strains (see ESM 6).

The OD Method Is Repeatable but Requires Normalisation in Sequential Test Series

An ANCOVA was performed on the log-transformed growth kinetics of IPO323 and FS0932 (see Fig. 3). Spore concentration values were expressed as the combined effect of strain (P < 0.01), OD reading time (P < 0.01), technical replicates (P = 0.99), well position (core or edge wells; P = 0.95) and repetitions of experiment (P < 0.01). In other words, the OD method was highly repeatable, with no significant variation in spore



Fig. 2 Relative fitness measurements in pairwise competition experiments at eight constant temperatures. (1) We tested pairs of strains consisting of a GFP-expressing strain (IPO323* annotated as *), the spores of which were visible under both white (WL) and fluorescent (FL*) light and a non-GFP-expressing strain (s_i), the spores of which were visible only under WL. IPO323* spores were cocultured with s_i spores at initial proportions [iP(*)] of 25, 50 and 75%, in a 96-well microtiter plate (Microtest Plate, Sarstedt), with each well containing 150 μ L of inoculated GPL liquid medium. After 72 h of growth, 50 μ L aliquots of each coculture replicate were sampled and deposited on a

microscope slide. (2) Slides were photographed under both WL and FL*, at \times 10 magnification, with a fluorescence microscope equipped with a camera (Leica DM5500 B, Leica Microsystems) and LAS-AF software (Leica Microsystems). GFP fluorescence was captured with a filter, with excitation at 450–490 nm and emission in the 500–550 nm range. (3) All acquired images were analysed with ImageJ 1.48v software. After conversion into black and white images, spores were counted on WL- (n_{WL}) and FL*- (n_{FL}) photographs with the built-in *Subtract Background, Threshold* and *Analyze Particles* functions; (4) Calculation of the final proportions (fP) of each strain in the coculture



Fig. 3 Changes in spore concentration within the suspension over 4 days. Growth kinetics of two *Z. tritici* strains (here represented as a function of time in hours post-inoculation—HPI—and at their respective T_{opt}) were analysed by fitting a logistic regression model to the experimental data obtained for IPO323 (squares and solid lines) and FS0932 (circles and dotted lines). Each data point corresponds to the mean of eight technical replicates in an experiment repeated three times (each repetition being shown in a different colour)

concentration between aliquots or between positions on the microtiter plate. However, consecutive repetitions of the experiment were not strictly equivalent. Thus, when the set of strains cannot be phenotyped in a single batch, a control (reference strain) should be grown in each experimental run for subsequent normalisation.

The OD Method Is Suitable for the Thermal Phenotyping of *Z. tritici*

We assessed the homogeneity of the system in terms of temperature and potential biological artefacts, to check that the phenotyping results obtained with the OD method were truly representative. We first checked the thermal homogeneity of in vitro growth conditions between wells of the same microtiter plate at three temperatures (deviation of the temperature of individual wells from the overall mean temperature of the microtiter plate < maximum residual error of measurements; see ESM 4b). Regarding spore optical properties during growth monitoring, spore length, width and shape had no significant effect on the change in OD values. Suspensions exposed to extreme temperatures (below 11.5 °C and above 30.5 °C) contained a slightly higher proportion of hyphal forms (not statistically significant). This change in the proportion of hyphal forms, which remained below 1% in all experimental conditions (see ESM 3b), had no effect on OD at any temperature except at 9.5 °C (interaction hyphae/temperature; P = 0.02), at which the hyphal forms presented more signs of branching filamentous structures. Melanisation affected OD, with a gradual increase in melanin levels detected after day 2 of the experiment (see ESM 3c), but this effect was not

 Table 2
 Impact of experimental variables on OD measurements across the experiment. We investigated the experimental factors which can affect OD values, by fitting a generalised linear model to growth kinetics data over a 4-day period, during which we monitored spore concentration and optical properties. The main effects and interactions with time and temperature are summarised by *P* values (*F* test)

Variables	Effect on OD	Interaction with time	Interaction with temperature
Number of spores	< 0.01	_	_
Time	< 0.01	_	_
Temperature	< 0.01	_	_
Spore length	0.88	0.93	0.17
Spore width	0.19	0.12	0.19
Spore shape	0.20	0.73	0.37
Spore melanisation	0.49	< 0.01	0.07
Presence of hyphal growth forms	0.26	0.42	0.02 ^a

^a The corresponding post-hoc test indicates that the limited presence of hyphal growth forms affected OD values only at 9.5 °C (at which temperature there was more pseudohyphae, i.e. growth forms presenting the first signs of branching of the filamentous structures). This interaction was not significant at the other 11 temperatures

dependent on the temperature of the liquid medium (see Table 2 and ESM 3d).

Consistency in Thermal Sensitivity for Assessments Based on Different Fitness Traits

Difference in TPCs Between the Four Fitness Traits

The mean TPCs for *in vitro* growth rate, AUDPC, LAT and nbSPO were described by different non-linear functions and key thermal parameter values (see Fig. 4). These differences reflect variations of the shape and position of performance distribution along the temperature axis (e.g. T_{opt} was 4.3 °C higher for *in vitro* growth rate than for AUDPC; 20.3 vs. 16.0 °C), with large differences in the rate and magnitude of changes in performance per degree change in temperature over the range. The overall correlation between *in vitro* growth rate and latent period or sporulation capacity was weak, but the one between *in vitro* growth rate and AUDPC was strong, for T_{opt} values (see Fig. 5b) and for full responses (Pearson's correlation coefficient: global 0.76, T_{opt} 0.92; P < 0.05).

Consistency of the Thermal Sensitivity Rankings of Strains for *In Vitro* Growth Rate and AUDPC

In vitro growth rate was found to be a reliable proxy for the assessment of thermal sensitivity, with the results obtained highly consistent with aggressiveness *in planta*.



Fig. 4 TPCs of four *Z. tritici* phenotypic traits. **a** *In vitro* growth rate. **b** *In planta* AUDPC (area under the sporulating area progress curve). **c** Inverse of *in planta* latent period expressed in dpi^{-1} (number of days post-inoculation⁻¹ required to reach 5% of the maximum sporulating area). **d** *In planta* sporulation capacity (final number of pycnidiospores produced

per unit of maximum sporulating area). Data points correspond to the mean trait performance calculated for 18 Z. tritici isolates (mean \pm SEM). TPCs were obtained by fitting the most appropriate mathematical model (solid line) to each data set and are displayed with their 95% confidence intervals (shaded area)

As TPC shape did not differ significantly between the 18 strains (Kruskal-Wallis, P < 0.05), T_{opt} can be considered to reflect the position of the TPC along the temperature axis. This parameter was used to rank strains for both *in vitro* growth rate and AUDPC (see Fig. 5a). In total, 11 of 18 strains were assigned to the same thermal sensitivity rank, and the ranking errors between the two assessments ranged from -2 to +2. Consequently, strain

categorisation (e.g. 'cold-adapted' vs. 'warm-adapted' strains) was conserved whether estimated *in vitro* or *in planta*. A clear benefit of the *in vitro* method is the magnification of the T_{opt} distribution relative to *in planta* disease assessment (4.8 vs. 2.2 °C; see Fig. 5c). This difference was particularly pronounced for cold-adapted strains, as there was a slight imbalance (distribution tail of the kernel density plot) in T_{opt} distribution for lower values.



Fig. 5 Consistency in the ranking of thermal responses for 18 *Z. tritici* strains assessed on the basis of two phenotypic traits. **a** Theoretical representation of the information provided by the position of T_{opt} (dotted lines) along the temperature axis (horizontal shift of TPCs showing performance *P* as a function of temperature *T*) and consecutive thermal sensitivity rankings of five strains (S1–S5) for *in vitro* and *in*

planta assessments. **b** Relationship between the *in vitro* growth rate T_{opt} and the *in planta* AUDPC T_{opt} illustrated by a linear model (dashed line) fitted to experimental data (points). **c** Full distribution (violin plot) of *in planta* AUDPC T_{opt} (mean ± SEM 16.0 ± 0.1 °C) and *in vitro* growth rate T_{opt} (mean ± SEM 20.3 ± 0.3 °C)

Application 1: Capturing Thermal Phenotypic Diversity Between Individuals and Within and Between Populations

Classification and Description of Thermal Responses: Modes of Variation and Thermotypes

The beta distribution was the preferred candidate model for describing TPCs for in vitro growth rate as it provided the most accurate and efficient description of the thermal response of this trait (see ESM 2). Therefore, this model was used to establish the mean TPC template and determine the degree to which it varied between strains (see Fig. 6a). Interindividual differences in TPCs were significant across all strains for the parameters P_{max} , T_{opt} , and TPB_{80} (ANOVA, P < 0.05). T_{max} was largely conserved across Z. tritici strains, with a confined value centred around 33.5 ± 0.7 °C. The minimum temperature values (T_{\min}) given by the equation were not considered as they fell outside the range of experimental values (6.5-33.5 °C). Four thermotypes were identified across populations: Th1 ('adapted to warmer conditions'), Th2 ('specialist'), Th3 ('high performer') and Th4 ('generalist') (see Fig. 6b and Table 3).

Compactness, Separation and Connectivity of Thermotypes

All TPCs discriminated well between the four thermotypes (classification conducted without assumptions and with all retained PCA dimensions) except for two strains with a negative or almost null silhouette width coefficient. Thermotypes were well separated (distance between cluster centres and between strains in different clusters), with Th3 and Th4 less differentiated from each other. All thermotypes displayed substantial within-cluster variations, which were larger for Th1 and Th2 (higher withincluster distance metrics) and particularly well structured for Th3 (bimodal distribution of silhouette coefficients: two distinct groups at about 0.55 and 0.25, respectively) (see Fig. 6c).

Variation of TPCs Within and Between Populations

A similar diversity of thermal responses (similar means and variances) was observed for T_{opt} , T_{max} and TPB_{80} between the six populations (ANOVA and Bartlett's test: P >0.05). The four thermotypes were represented in all populations except for Fp5, which was composed of Th1 and Th2 only. With a higher proportion of Th1 (poorperformance strains) and no Th3 (highly performant strains) in its population, Fp5 had a lower P_{max} (ANOVA and Tukey HSD, P < 0.01) due to the difference in thermotype composition compared with the other populations (see ESM 5d–e).

Application 2: Inferring Relative Fitness at the Individual and Population Levels

No Competitive Advantage for Strains with Similar TPCs

The final proportion of IPO323* spores was not affected by temperature in coculture with WT-IPO323 (ANOVA, P >0.05), regardless of the initial ratio (see Fig. 7(i)). Furthermore, no significant difference was found between the initial and final proportions of each strain (ANOVA, P > 0.05). This suggests that neither strain had a competitive advantage over the other. Likewise, the final proportion of IPO323* spores did not vary significantly over the temperature range in coculture with FS0917 (ANOVA, P > 0.05). However, FS0917 slightly outcompeted IPO323*, with final spore proportions of 57 and 43% (ANOVA, P = 0.02), respectively (see Fig. 7(ii)).

Expression of a Competitive Advantage Between Strains with Different TPCs

The final proportion of IPO323* spores increased significantly along the temperature axis (Kruskal-Wallis, P < 0.01) in coculture with FS0678, FS0922 or FS1014, whose TPCs are characterised by a lower T_{opt} (19.2±0.1 vs. 25.8 °C; see Fig. 7a(iii)). The same trend (described by a second-order polynomial equation) was observed for the three strains, indicating that the TPC dissimilarity of each strain with the TPC of IPO323* was responsible for the outcome of competition (see Fig. 7b(iii)).

Discussion

Properties, Applications and Scaling-Up of the Thermal Phenotyping Framework

The thermal phenotyping framework developed here satisfactorily overcomes the six common limitations of such phenotyping methods (see introduction). It is miniaturised (microtiter plate method), standardised (balanced and homogeneous growth conditions), accurate (more reliable spore quantification, yielding a slight overestimate), robust (sound repeatability and potential of comparison, if a reference strain is included in the experimental design of sequential test series for subsequent normalisation) and suitable for thermal phenotyping (no skewed confounding effect related to shifts in the optical properties of spores and magnification of the T_{opt} distribution compared with in planta disease assessment). On this last point, dimorphism and melanisation, both of which have been reported to be temperature-dependent [25, 35], notably in Z. tritici [16], could have affected OD measurements. Consequently, they were taken into account in the definition of the validity range of the method. Spore melanisation was not involved in



Fig. 6 Assessment of the diversity of *Z. tritici* thermal responses encountered within a set of six French populations (6×11 strains; Fp1 to Fp6) collected along north-south and west-east transects. **a** Representative mean TPC obtained for *in vitro* growth rate and variation of its characteristics across strains (movement and shift in TPC position along the *x*- and *y*-axes). The curve was obtained by fitting a beta distribution to the whole data set (n = 66 strains). Interindividual variation around the average function is displayed for four thermal parameters capturing TPC features: maximum performance (P_{max}), thermal optimum (T_{opt}), maximum temperature (T_{max}) and 80% thermal performance breadth (TPB_{80}). Dotted lines and rectangles represent the lower and upper bounds of the standard deviation for each parameter. **b** Representative TPCs (paragons for each cluster) for each thermotype (Th1: 'adapted to warmer conditions', Th2: 'specialist', Th3

'high performer' and Th4 'generalist') obtained by hierarchical clustering on principal component (HCPC) analysis for the four thermal parameters indicated above (see Table 3). **c** Clustering of thermal responses based on $P_{\rm max}$, $T_{\rm opt}$, $T_{\rm max}$ and TPB_{80} . Factorial plan of the principal component analysis (PCA), with dots representing strains and coloured according to thermotype (HCPC cluster). The distance between each individual and the centre of the corresponding cluster is indicated by a line. Cluster silhouette plots (distribution of silhouette coefficient—SC—quantitative measurements of how well each strain lies within its cluster—for each individual of a given cluster) are also provided to illustrate and quantify disparities within (compactness) and between (connectivity) thermotypes. On these plots, the numbers in brackets refer to the proportion of the set of 66 strains corresponding to the thermotype concerned

Table 3 Characteristics and proportions of the four thermotypes identified in HCPC analysis (French *Z. tritici* populations; n = 66 strains). Thermal responses were clustered on the basis of the following four TPC parameters: P_{max} , T_{opt} , T_{max} and TPB_{80} . T_{max} does not appear in the table as its value was largely conserved across *Z. tritici* strains (no variation between clusters). Numbers in brackets indicate the value for the barycentre of each thermotype (corresponding to an HCPC cluster; see Fig. 6b). Inter-cluster

variations are summarised as follows, based on a statistical comparison with the overall mean of each parameter: '-' no deviation; ' \nearrow ' higher value; ' \searrow ' lower value; ' \searrow ' even lower value. Italic text identifies the TPC parameter characterising each thermotype most appropriately. The frequency of each thermotype is provided across (overall proportion) and within (occurrence within populations) the six sampled populations

Thermotype	TPC parameters			Overall proportion (%)	Occurrence within
	$P_{\rm max}$	T _{opt}	TPB_{80}		populations (ratio)
Th1	0.24 (`\)	22.0 (*)	13.7 (-)	18.2	5/6
Th2	0.28 (-)	20.4 (-)	<i>13.1</i> (`>)	31.8	5/6
Th3	0.32 (↗)	18.5 (٧٧)	13.7 (-)	24.2	6/6
Th4	0.28 (-)	19.8 (১)	15.5 (>)	25.8	6/6



Fig.7 Impact of individual TPCs on the outcome of pairwise competition between *Z. tritici* strains. **a** TPCs for *in vitro* growth rate for the five strains used in pairwise cocultures with IPO323* (GFP-expressing IPO323 transformant; $T_{opt} = 25.8$ °C; grey dotted curve). The various strains (black curves) paired with IPO323* have either (i) a matching TPC and identical genetic background (wild-type WT-IPO323; $T_{opt} =$ 25.8 °C) or (ii) a matching TPC but different genetic background (FS0917; $T_{opt} = 25.7$ °C) or (iii) both a contrasting TPC and a different genetic background (FS1: FS0678, FS2: FS0922, FS3: FS1014; $T_{opt} =$ 19.1, 19.2, 19.3 °C, respectively). **b** Competition outcomes expressed as

any confounding effect or interaction with temperature on changes in OD. This seeming inconsistent result (absence of interaction between melanisation and temperature) with those presented in [16] likely stems from the substantial differences in our methodological approaches since the use of liquid medium and the particle counter allowed us to measure melanisation directly at the spore level and not at the single-spore colony level (inherent to the use of solid medium, with possible differential effects of the air-colony-medium interface on melanisation). Regarding Z. tritici dimorphism, temperature did not affect the proportion of hyphal growth forms, which can be explained by the optimised culture conditions of our framework (in particular consideration of the characteristics of culture medium regarding nutrient deprivation rate and colony ageing; see ESM 1), but shifts from the yeast-like to the hyphal growth form might significantly affect OD at low temperatures (i.e. around 9.5 °C - as was the case in our experimental conditions - and probably below). This all the more supports our decision not to include T_{\min} values in our analyses of thermal responses given their low confidence levels.

the final proportion of fluorescent spores (IPO323*), fP(*), obtained after the separate exposure of each coculture to eight liquid culture medium temperatures. Experimental data are presented as means (data points) \pm SEM (error bars). Dotted lines correspond to the trend line for change along the temperature axis for each initial proportion of IPO323*, iP(*), i.e. 25, 50 and 75%, when applicable. Different letters above data points in Fig. 7b(iii) indicate significant differences in final spore proportions as a function of temperature (Kruskal-Wallis test followed by post-hoc pairwise comparisons, P < 0.05)

This framework is a significant improvement over those used in previous studies investigating thermal adaptation in Z. tritici [10, 15, 16]. The use of a liquid medium makes higher-throughput screening assays possible (further design miniaturisation) and avoids the problems associated with physical differences between plates (moisture content and surface tension of solid medium), which can affect colony deposition and distort growth measurements [10]. It also covers a wide range of temperatures, with a finer-grained resolution, providing a more complete picture of thermal responses, which can be efficiently captured only by TPCs. In the case of Z. tritici, the use of 12 temperatures rather than two may overcome the problems associated with simple tests of 'thermal sensitivity' based on two temperatures [10, 15, 16], in which it can be questionable to draw firm conclusions given the non-linearity of thermal responses. Indeed, depending on the position relative to T_{opt} of the two temperatures considered, slope comparisons could lead to a misinterpretation of the results. Finally, growth rate measurements were not segregated at one to three single time points, but measured over four days to take time-dependent effects into account when reporting maximum growth rates inferred over the kinetics.

This framework can be applied to the study of other microorganisms whose spores grow exclusively through cell division (e.g. yeasts and yeast-like microorganisms in general), by following the step-by-step directions presented here: tailoring of the framework to the target microorganism (preliminary growth monitoring experiments to define the optimal growth conditions, the experimental time frame and the range and resolution of thermal conditions; see ESM 1), method validation (verification of accuracy, repeatability, reproducibility and suitability; see stage II) and a thorough assessment of the information obtained (cross-comparisons between the thermal responses of other fitness traits studied in the natural environment of the microorganism; see stage III).

Capturing Plasticity in *Z. tritici* Thermal Responses in Individuals and in Populations

Phenotypic Variation in Thermal Responses Within and Between French Populations

In this study, we quantified the intraspecific phenotypic variability of thermal responses within populations of a fungal pathogen with a high level of genetic and phenotypic diversity [36, 37]. Significant variation in TPCs between individuals was reported across strains from all six French Z. tritici populations sampled. TPCs were classified into four thermotypes, each of which distinguished a particular category of thermal responses with its own intrinsic features (e.g. generalist or specialist thermotypes) and differentiation (e.g. compactness and separation from other thermotypes on the basis of cluster distance metrics). This categorisation is a promising way to summarise diversity at different levels (individual, population, species). It should be noted that this constitutes not an absolute but a relative classification varying with the populations which are sampled. Given the differences in interindividual variation within thermotypes (e.g. scattered distribution for Th2 vs. bimodal distribution for Th3), thermal response diversity should be considered not only between, but also within thermotypes. This is of particular importance as the phenotypic composition of groups can drive the adaptation of a population to its environment through eco-evolutionary processes [38].

Spatial Structure of Thermal Responses in French Populations

No spatial phenotypic structure of thermal responses was detected between the six French *Z. tritici* populations, although one population displayed a considerably lower level of thermal response diversity (only two of the four identified thermotypes present). These populations were not sampled over the same time period during the growing season (at the end of winter for Fp5 and Fp6 and at the end of spring for Fp1, Fp2, Fp3 and Fp4). Since we are analysing a potentially blurred picture with seasonal variations as a confounding factor, it is not possible to conclude that there is absolutely no pattern of thermal adaptation in France. Indeed, diversity in the thermal responses of Z. tritici at the population level may emerge not only at a worldwide scale (significant differences in sensitivity to temperature linked to genetic differentiation [10]), but also locally (selection pressure exerted by seasonal changes [15]). Consequently, future investigations of phenotypic diversity in Z. tritici populations and its potential structuration by thermal environments should involve careful consideration of the most appropriate sampling strategy [39] along spatial and temporal gradients because the traits studied here are labile. The populations sampled over a same period namely winter (extreme points of the west-east increasing gradient of annual temperature range) and spring (north-south increasing gradient of mean annual temperature) populations can be analysed separately. Interestingly, the Fp5 population, which displays the lowest richness level of diversity in thermal responses (only two thermotypes), was devoid of the generalist thermotype compared to the Fp6 population in which we detected both the specialist and the generalist thermotypes. Fp5 is characterised by a marked distinction in the annual range of its thermal environment as its range was the lowest among all sampling locations especially when compared with Fp6 (11.9 vs. 19.9 °C, respectively, whilst they share the same annual thermal mean). This finding is consistent with the assumption that more variable environments favour thermal generalists [3]. Despite the difference in mean annual temperature along the north-south gradient, the same level of thermal response diversity and, in particular, a similar proportion of 'warm-adapted' strains were found in Fp1, Fp2, Fp3 and Fp4. This deviates from the assumption that there is a shift in the optimal range of thermal responses in relation to the mean temperature encountered within an environment. This high local diversity but non-geographically based population structure along the north-south gradient may be explained by the high gene flow among Z. tritici populations at large spatial scales due to the long-distance wind-dispersal of ascospores [36].

Differential Effects of Leaf Temperature on Aggressiveness Traits

Leaf temperature effects on three fitness traits expressed *in planta* were studied through the establishment of TPCs, as described in a previous study focusing on latent period [4]. The knowledge of the range and distribution of TPC

parameters acquired here for 18 *Z. tritici* strains could be incorporated into epidemiological models to investigate how and to what extent pathogen development and epidemic dynamics are affected by variations of temperature and the diversity of responses between individuals within a population (not accounted for in current models, despite the demonstration of a significant impact in epidemic forecasting [40]). A comprehensive multitrait approach is particularly important because (i) selection pressure does not necessarily operate on the same traits over the course of an epidemic [15]; and (ii) strains can be regarded as patchworks of traits [41] with different levels of phenotypic plasticity that can be reliably estimated from the differences in TPC shape.

Ranking Consistency of Thermal Responses Between In Vitro and In Planta Assessments

Interestingly, the thermal optima for growth rate (in vitro) and AUDPC (in planta) were found to be strongly related. In particular, thermal sensitivity rankings were highly consistent whether estimated in vitro or in planta, but with an important shift in the thermal optimum and its distribution breadth. It is likely that this observed shift (4.3 °C higher for the in vitro growth rate optimum) results from the difference in the nutritional environment and in Z. tritici growth forms between in vitro culture (predominantly yeast-like growth) and in planta leaf medium (hyphal growth, from epiphytic growth on the leaf surface to the intercellular growth within plant tissues [26]) and/or from an effect of temperature on the host-pathogen interaction. This ranking consistency between in vitro and in planta assessments is in line with other findings [42, 43] and suggests some sort of interdependence between phenotypic traits in individual thermal responses, consistent with the concept of phenotypic integration [27]. This also constitutes an ultimate step of methodological validation considerably expanding the scope of the experimental framework by confirming its biological relevance, even though the results are acquired in vitro. Additionally, this finding has important implications as it suggests that relative ranking of thermal responses between strains might be sufficient to draw conclusions on the thermal adaptation of groups and populations based on rank-based analyses of variance. However, it should be underlined that studies aiming to assess and to project performance in response to changes in temperature continue to require inference from the full TPC (position, curvature and skew of the curve along the temperature axis).

Inferring from TPCs the Relative Performance of Strains in a Thermal Environment

How well can overall performance and competitive advantage be predicted from TPCs? An extension of the thermal phenotyping framework (see application 2) makes it possible to explore this question by inferring the performance of a given Z. tritici strain within a group in a given thermal environment. We showed that the significance of these findings and the confidence that can be placed in them depend strongly on the properties of the experimental methods used (accuracy, repeatability, reproducibility, suitability of phenotyping assessment) and the correct integration of thermal biology and microbial ecology concepts. In our experiments, the relative proportions of the strains in pairwise cocultures of strains with similar TPCs were not affected by temperature regardless of genetic background. By contrast, pairwise cocultures of strains with dissimilar TPCs resulted in temperature-dependent outcomes with an increase in the proportion of the warm-adapted strains along the temperature axis. An expansion of this in vitro experiment with additional competition conditions (e.g. starting from different initial conditions and/or with more thermotypes in competition) would improve our understanding of the response of microbial populations to natural thermal variations. By extending the conditions (in planta) and the epidemiological framework (e.g. polycyclic dynamics) of the experiment, we could also try to improve characterisation of the thermal signal filter (time step and rate of the foliar fungal pathogen response to the environment [8]) and the speed of natural selection in constant or fluctuating thermal environments [44].

Framework Versatility: Applications for Future Research

This integrated framework from experimental design to data interpretation allows detailed phenotyping of the thermal responses of microorganisms. Through the quantification of interindividual phenotypic variation, it opens up new possibilities for exploring not only the diversity of thermal responses and its structuration (e.g. by highlighting patterns of local adaptation in natural populations [45]) but also its causes and consequences.

Indeed, this framework could help to provide deeper insight into the genetic basis of adaptation to temperature, by moving from phenotype to genotype (quantitative trait loci mapping or genome-wide association study of contrasting phenotypic profiles, e.g. thermotypes) to identify genes involved in thermal adaptation (association of SNPs with given performance traits) [46] or from genotype to phenotype (phenotyping of specific temperature-sensitive allelic variants or mutant lines) to facilitate the functional annotation of genomes [47].

This framework can also be used to explore the consequences of phenotypic variation between individuals, through the use of TPCs to infer individual performance in a given population and thermal environment (see application 2) and to predict changes in population composition in response to thermal changes [48], drawing on mark-release-recapture [49], selection [50] or modelling [51] experiments.

Concluding Remarks

By directly addressing the technical and analytical challenges in quantifying and describing thermal responses, this study provides a new methodology for inferring and categorising such responses in the case of yeasts and yeast-like microorganisms. As such, the proposed framework can assist in shedding more light on the phenotypic heterogeneity of thermal responses between individuals, populations, species and communities of these microorganisms, but also on the ways in which they interact with their natural or engineered environment. Among other applications, it can be used extensively to understand, predict and control the design, optimisation and conduct of food (e.g. strain selection), biomedical (e.g. product stabilisation), industrial (e.g. anaerobic digestion process for the production of biomethane), epidemiological (e.g. pathogen growth and epidemic development) and ecological processes (e.g. population dynamics in response to thermal variations).

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Alain Fortineau for designing the experimental setup used for monitoring liquid medium and wheat leaf temperatures; Marc-Henri Lebrun for kindly providing the GFPtransformed strain of *Z. tritici*; Laurent Falchetto, Bernard Gesret, Henriette Goyeau, Marc Leconte and Ivan Sache for their help in sampling the French *Z. tritici* populations used in this study; Ons El Kamel for her assistance in collecting *in planta* epidemiological data and Bérengère Dalmais for providing support for fluorescence microscopy.

Author Contributions A.-L. B., M.C. and F.S. conceived and designed the study. Experiments were performed by A.-L. B., with the help of G.D. for method development and J.L. for *in vitro* growth monitoring of the French *Z. tritici* populations. A.-L. B. performed data analyses. A.-L. B., M.C. and F.S. wrote the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by a grant from the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the '*Investissements d'Avenir*' programme (SEPTOVAR project; LabEx BASC; ANR-11-LABX-0034) and by a PhD fellowship from the French Ministry of Education and Research (MESR) awarded to A.-L. B.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Lindow SE, Brandl MT (2003) Microbiology of the phyllosphere. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:1875–1883. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.69.4.1875-1883.2003
- Chelle M (2005) Phylloclimate or the climate perceived by individual plant organs: what is it? How to model it? What for? New Phytol. 166:781–790. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005. 01350.x
- 3. Angilletta MJ (2009) Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis. Oxford University Press, Oxford

- Bernard F, Sache I, Suffert F, Chelle M (2013) The development of a foliar fungal pathogen does react to leaf temperature! New Phytol. 198:232–240. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12134
- Sutherst RW, Constable F, Finlay KJ, Harrington R, Luck J, Zalucki MP (2011) Adapting to crop pest and pathogen risks under a changing climate. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang. 2:220–237. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.102
- Boyd PW, Rynearson TA, Armstrong EA, Fu F, Hayashi K, Hu Z, Hutchins DA, Kudela RM, Litchman E, Mulholland MR, Passow U, Strzepek RF, Whittaker KA, Yu E, Thomas MK (2013) Marine phytoplankton temperature versus growth responses from polar to tropical waters—outcome of a scientific community-wide study. PLoS One 8:e63091. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063091
- Huey RB, Stevenson RD (1979) Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion of approaches. Am. Zool. 19:357–366. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/19.1.357
- Sinclair BJ, Marshall KE, Sewell MA, Levesque DL, Willett CS, Slotsbo S, Dong Y, Harley CDG, Marshall DJ, Helmuth BS, Huey RB (2016) Can we predict ectotherm responses to climate change using thermal performance curves and body temperatures? Ecol. Lett. 19:1372–1385. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12686
- Low-Décarie E, Boatman TG, Bennett N, Passfield W, Gavalás-Olea A, Siegel P, Geider RJ (2017) Predictions of response to temperature are contingent on model choice and data quality. Ecol Evol 7:10467–10481. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3576
- Zhan J, McDonald BA (2011) Thermal adaptation in the fungal pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. Mol. Ecol. 20:1689–1701. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05023.x
- Wiser MJ, Lenski RE (2015) A comparison of methods to measure fitness in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One 10:e0126210. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0126210
- Angilletta MJ (2006) Estimating and comparing thermal performance curves. J. Therm. Biol. 31:541–545. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jtherbio.2006.06.002
- Quinn BK (2017) A critical review of the use and performance of different function types for modeling temperature-dependent development of arthropod larvae. J. Therm. Biol. 63:65–77. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2016.11.013
- 14. Eyal Z (1999) The *Septoria tritici* and *Stagonospora nodorum* blotch diseases of wheat. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 105:629–641
- Suffert F, Ravigné V, Sache I (2015) Seasonal changes drive shortterm selection for fitness traits in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81:6367–6379. https://doi.org/10. 1128/AEM.00529-15
- Lendenmann MH, Croll D, Palma-Guerrero J, Stewart EL, McDonald BA (2016) QTL mapping of temperature sensitivity reveals candidate genes for thermal adaptation and growth morphology in the plant pathogenic fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Heredity 116:384–394. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.111
- Pariaud B, Ravigné V, Halkett F, Goyeau H, Carlier J, Lannou C (2009) Aggressiveness and its role in the adaptation of plant pathogens. Plant Pathol. 58(3):409–424
- Ahmed HU, Mundt CC, Hoffer ME, Coakley SM (1996) Selective influence of wheat cultivars on pathogenicity of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (anamorph *Septoria tritici*). Phytopathology 86:454–458. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-86-454
- Donlan RM (2002) Biofilms: microbial life on surfaces. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:881–890. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0809.020063
- Hall BG, Acar H, Nandipati A, Barlow M (2014) Growth rates made easy. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31:232–238. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/mst187
- 21. Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2004) Model selection and multimodel inference. Springer New York, New York
- 22. Jassby AD, Platt T (1976) Mathematical formulation of the relationship between photosynthesis and light for phytoplankton:

photosynthesis-light equation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 21:540–547. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1976.21.4.0540

- International Organization for Standardization (1994) Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results. ISO Standards catalogue
- International Organization for Standardization (2017) Guidance for the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty evaluation. ISO Standards catalogue
- Butler MJ, Day AW (1998) Fungal melanins: a review. Can. J. Microbiol. 44:1115–1136. https://doi.org/10.1139/w98-119
- Steinberg G (2015) Cell biology of *Zymoseptoria tritici*: pathogen cell organization and wheat infection. Fungal Genet. Biol. 79:17– 23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.04.002
- 27. Pigliucci M (2003) Phenotypic integration: studying the ecology and evolution of complex phenotypes. Ecol. Lett. 6:265–272. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00428.x
- Suffert F, Sache I, Lannou C (2013) Assessment of quantitative traits of aggressiveness in *Mycosphaerella graminicola* on adult wheat plants. Plant Pathol. 62:1330–1341. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ppa.12050
- Rousseeuw PJ (1987) Silhouettes: a graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 20: 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7
- Abràmoff MD, Magalhães PJ, Ram SJ (2004) Image processing with ImageJ. Biophoton. Int. 11:36–42
- R Core Team (2018) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D (2018) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. Comprehensive R Archive Network
- Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 25:1–18. https://doi.org/10. 18637/jss.v025.i01
- Hennig C (2010) fpc: flexible procedures for clustering. Comprehensive R Archive Network
- Gauthier GM (2015) Dimorphism in fungal pathogens of mammals, plants, and insects. PLoS Pathog. 11:e1004608. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004608
- Linde CC, Zhan J, McDonald BA (2002) Population structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: from lesions to continents. Phytopathology 92:946–955. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO. 2002.92.9.946
- Zhan J, Linde CC, Jurgens T, Merz U, Steinebrunner F, McDonald BA (2005) Variation for neutral markers is correlated with variation for quantitative traits in the plant pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. Mol. Ecol. 14:2683–2693. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2005.02638.x
- Farine DR, Montiglio P-O, Spiegel O (2015) From individuals to groups and back: the evolutionary implications of group phenotypic

composition. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30:609-621. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.tree.2015.07.005

- Van de Pol M (2012) Quantifying individual variation in reaction norms: how study design affects the accuracy, precision and power of random regression models. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3:268–280. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2011.00160.x
- Ferrandino FJ (2012) Time scales of inoculum production and the dynamics of the epidemic. Phytopathology 102:728–732. https:// doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-03-12-0051-LE
- Ghalambor CK, McKay JK, Carroll SP, Reznick DN (2007) Adaptive versus non-adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. Funct. Ecol. 21:394–407. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01283.x
- Zhan F, Xie Y, Zhu W, Sun D, McDonald BA, Zhan J (2016) Linear correlation analysis of *Zymoseptoria tritici* aggressiveness with *in vitro* growth rate. Phytopathology 106:1255–1261. https://doi. org/10.1094/PHYTO-12-15-0338-R
- Paisley D, Robson GD, Denning DW (2005) Correlation between in vitro growth rate and in vivo virulence in Aspergillus fumigatus. Med. Mycol. 43:397-401. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13693780400005866
- Niehaus AC, Angilletta MJ, Sears MW, Franklin CE, Wilson RS (2012) Predicting the physiological performance of ectotherms in fluctuating thermal environments. J. Exp. Biol. 215:694–701. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.058032
- Giraud T, Koskella B, Laine A-L (2017) Introduction: microbial local adaptation: insights from natural populations, genomics and experimental evolution. Mol. Ecol. 26:1703–1710. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/mec.14091
- Bazakos C, Hanemian M, Trontin C, Jiménez-Gómez JM, Loudet O (2017) New strategies and tools in quantitative genetics: how to go from the phenotype to the genotype. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 68: 435–455. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-040820
- Brown SDM, Wurst W, Kühn R, Hancock JM (2009) The functional annotation of mammalian genomes: the challenge of phenotyping. Annu. Rev. Genet. 43:305–333. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-genet-102108-134143
- Reed TE, Schindler DE, Waples RS (2011) Interacting effects of phenotypic plasticity and evolution on population persistence in a changing climate. Conserv. Biol. 25:56–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1523-1739.2010.01552.x
- Zhan J, McDonald BA (2013) Field-based experimental evolution of three cereal pathogens using a mark-release-recapture strategy. Plant Pathol. 62:106–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12130
- Fisher KJ, Lang GI (2016) Experimental evolution in fungi: an untapped resource. Fungal Genet. Biol. 94:88–94. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fgb.2016.06.007
- Chevin L-M, Lande R, Mace GM (2010) Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing environment: towards a predictive theory. PLoS Biol. 8:e1000357. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 1000357